

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,056	04/03/2002	Arno Lange	220950USOPCT	6861
22850 75	90 09/08/2006		EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
1940 DUKE ST	·	merca recorrect, r.c.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		1714	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	>
	10/089,056	LANGE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. Iy be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	une 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		s, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5.7-10.12.13.16.17.19-35.38-43.49</u>	50,52-65,67-71,73-77 and	79-85 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached t	Office Action of form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		aliantian Na	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			ane
application from the International Bureau	•		ugo
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	rmal Patent Application	

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-5,7-10,12,13,16,17,19-35,38-43,49,50,52-65,67-71,73-77 and 79-85.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,056

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 19, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed June 19, 2006 in which claims 1, 7, 10, and 73-76 were amended and claims 79-85 were added.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1714

4. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19-35, 38-43, 49, 50, 52-65, 67-71, 73-77 and 79-85 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 8, 9, 20-33, 47-50, 52-54, 69-71 and 73-89 of copending Application No. 10/089064 for the reasons of record. This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant argues that filing of a terminal disclaimer in copending application 10/089,064 obviates the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant must disclaim 10/089064 because it is necessary to avoid the problem of dual ownership of patents to patentably indistinct inventions in the event that the patent issuing from this application ceases to be commonly owned with the copending application. It should be noted that no terminal disclaimer has been filed in copending application 10/089,064.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is rejected because there is no antecedent support for "the reaction mixture."

Application/Control Number: 10/089,056

Art Unit: 1714

Claim 8 is rejected because there is no antecedent support in claim 1 for "the adduct mixture."

In claim 10, is R² the added subject matter?

Claim 83 is rejected because there is no antecedent support in claim 82 for "the phenol is 2-methylphenol and the amine is n-butylamine."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 80 and 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Colucci (US 5,634,951).

Colucci teaches a detergent/dispersant wherein the dispersant is the reaction product of a phenolic compound (phenol, cresol) alkylated with a highly reactive polyisobutene (PIB) with an aldehyde and an amine (see abstract; col. 2, line 64-67; col. 3, lines 1-10). The PIB has a number average molecular weight of from 500 to about 3000 and a polydispersity in the range of 1-4 (see col. 3, lines 11-21).

The amine is preferably an aliphatic diamine having one primary or secondary amino group such as a N,N-dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine (aka 3-(dimethylamino)-n-propylamine)(see col. 3, lines 61-67; col. 4, lines 25-26). The aldehyde may be

formaldehyde (see col. 4, lines 37-47). The additive is used in combination with a liquid carrier such as, mineral oil and liquid esters (liquid lubricants) (see col. 5, lines 19-30).

Colucci does not specifically set forth the adduct as set forth in claim 82.

However, the mixture of Colucci would inherently meet these limitations because Colucci teaches the same reactants as Applicant.

Accordingly, Colucci teaching all the limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

10089056\20060902